

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)

3 davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)

4 melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com

John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)

5 johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com

Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)

6 jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor

7 San Francisco, California 94111-4788

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

8 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

9 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

13 vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;

14 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING

LLC,

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**DECLARATION OF JORDAN R. JAFFE  
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF WAYMO  
LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
SHORTEN TIME FOR BRIEFING AND  
HEARING ON PLAINTIFF WAYMO  
LLC'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED  
DISCOVERY**

1 I, Jordan R. Jaffe, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am admitted to  
3 practice before this Court. I am a partner with the law firm Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan,  
4 LLP, counsel for the Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”). I have personal knowledge of the matters set  
5 forth in this Declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those matters.

6 2. I make this declaration in support of Waymo’s Administrative Motion to Shorten Time  
7 for Briefing and Hearing on Waymo’s Motion for Expedited Discovery.

8 3. Waymo makes this motion in order to obtain expedited discovery in time for its reply  
9 in support of Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, and the noticed hearing on that motion, to benefit  
10 from the discovery.

11 4. No attorney has yet to appear for defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Ottomotto LLC,  
12 and Otto Trucking LLC (collectively “Defendants”) and, therefore, Waymo has not met and conferred  
13 with Defendants. Waymo remains open and willing to meet and confer with counsel regarding  
14 scheduling issues once Defendants’ attorneys have appeared in this action.

15 5. Waymo contends it would be substantially harmed if the briefing and hearing schedule  
16 were not shortened. Waymo seeks this discovery in order to obtain additional evidence supporting its  
17 Motion for a Preliminary Injunction and will be unable to use such evidence unless the Court  
18 advances the schedule. In addition, as described in its preliminary injunction motion, Waymo  
19 contends it has been, and continues to be, irreparably harmed by Defendant’s misappropriation of  
20 Waymo’s trade secrets and infringement of Waymo’s asserted patents.

21 6. The advanced hearing will address Waymo’s motion for expedited discovery, in which  
22 the good cause required to seek expedited discovery may be at issue if Defendants file an opposition.

23 7. An initial case management conference was set for May 26, 2017 (Dkt. 9), but the  
24 Court reset the case management conference for May 18, 2017 after reassignment (Dkt. 20). Waymo  
25 requests the briefing and hearing on its motion for expedited discovery be shortened, as well as seeks  
26 discovery prior to the time otherwise allowed under the Federal Rules with its motion for expedited  
27 discovery. No other modifications of time have been requested.

28

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in San Francisco, California, on March 10, 2017.

## SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

---

Charles K. Verhoeven